arXiv:math/0510424v1 [math.PR] 20 Oct 2005

An error bound in the Sudakov-Fernique inequality

Sourav Chatterjee

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We obtain an asymptotically sharp error bound in the classical Sudakov-Fernique comparison inequality for finite collections of gaussian random variables. Our proof is short and self-contained, and gives an easy alternative argument for the classical inequality, extended to the case of non-centered processes.

1 Statement of the result

Gaussian comparison inequalities are among the most important tools in the theory of gaussian processes, and the Sudakov-Fernique inequality (named after Sudakov [11, 12] and Fernique [3]) is perhaps the most widely used member of that class.

We will concentrate on the Sudakov-Fernique inequality in this article; general discussions about comparison inequalities can be found in Adler [1], Fernique [4], Ledoux & Talagrand [9], and Lifshits [10].

The classical Sudakov-Fernique inequality goes as follows:

Theorem 1.1. [Sudakov-Fernique inequality] *Let $\{X_i, i \in I\}$ and $\{Y_i, i \in I\}$ be two centered gaussian processes indexed by the same indexing set I. Suppose that both the processes are almost surely bounded. For each $i, j \in I$, let $\gamma_{ij}^X = \mathbb{E}(X_i - X_j)^2$ and $\gamma_{ij}^Y = \mathbb{E}(Y_i - Y_j)^2$. If $\gamma_{ij}^X \le \gamma_{ij}^Y$ for all i, j, then $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{i\in I} X_i) \le \mathbb{E}(\sup_{i\in I} Y_i)$.*

As mentioned before, this inequality is attributed to Sudakov [11, 12] and Fernique [3]. Later proofs were given in Alexander [2] and an unpublished work of S. Chevet. Important variants were proved by Gordon [5, 6, 7] and Kahane [8]. More recently, Vitale [14] has shown, through a clever argument, that we only need $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mathbb{E}(Y_i)$ instead of $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mathbb{E}(Y_i) = 0$ in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. We will prove the following result, which gives an sharp error bound when the indexing set is finite, and also contains Vitale's extension of the Sudakov-Fernique inequality.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ and $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ be gaussian random vectors with $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mathbb{E}(Y_i)$ for each i. For $1 \le i, j \le n$, let $\gamma_{ij}^X = \mathbb{E}(X_i - X_j)^2$ and $\gamma_{ij}^Y = \mathbb{E}(Y_i - Y_j)^2$, and let $\gamma = \max_{1\le i,j\le n} |\gamma_{ij}^X - \gamma_{ij}^Y|$. Then*

$$|\mathbb{E}(\max_{1\le i\le n} X_i) - \mathbb{E}(\max_{1\le i\le n} Y_i)| \le \sqrt{\gamma \log n}.$$

Moreover, if $\gamma_{ij}^X \le \gamma_{ij}^Y$ for all i, j, then $\mathbb{E}(\max_i X_i) \le \mathbb{E}(\max_i Y_i)$.

The asymptotic sharpness of the error bound is easy to see from the case where all the X_i's are independent standard normals and all the Y_i's are zero.

2 Proof

We first need to state the following well-known "integration by parts" lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *If $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 function of moderate growth at infinity, and $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is a centered Gaussian random vector, then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(X_i F(\mathbf{X})) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(X_i X_j) \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{X})\right).$$

A proof of this lemma can be found in the appendix of [13], for example.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ are defined on the same probability space and are independent. Fix $\beta > 0$, and define $F_\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

$$F_\beta(\mathbf{x}) := \beta^{-1} \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\beta x_i}\right).$$

(Note that $\mathbf{x}$ denotes the vector $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, a convention that we shall follow throughout.) Now, for each i, let $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mathbb{E}(Y_i)$, $\tilde{X}_i = X_i - \mu_i$, and $\tilde{Y}_i = Y_i - \mu_i$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, let $\sigma_{ij}^X = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j)$ and $\sigma_{ij}^Y = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i \tilde{Y}_j)$. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ define the random vector $\mathbf{Z}_t = (Z_{t,1}, \ldots, Z_{t,n})$ as

$$Z_{t,i} = \sqrt{1-t}\tilde{X}_i + \sqrt{t}\tilde{Y}_i + \mu_i.$$

For all $t \in [0,1]$, let $\varphi(t) = \mathbb{E}(F_\beta(\mathbf{Z}_t))$. Then φ is differentiable, and

$$\varphi'(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F_\beta}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{Z}_t)\left(\frac{\tilde{Y}_i}{2\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\tilde{X}_i}{2\sqrt{1-t}}\right)\right].$$

Again, for any i, Lemma 2.1 gives us

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial F_\beta}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{Z}_t)\tilde{X}_i\right) = \sqrt{1-t}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}^X \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(\mathbf{Z}_t)\right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial F_\beta}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{Z}_t)\tilde{Y}_i\right) = \sqrt{t}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}^Y \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(\mathbf{Z}_t)\right).$$

Combining, we have

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(\mathbf{Z}_t)\right)(\sigma_{ij}^Y - \sigma_{ij}^X).$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial F_\beta}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) = p_i(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{e^{\beta x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^n e^{\beta x_j}}.$$

Note that for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the numbers $p_1(\mathbf{x}), \ldots p_n(\mathbf{x})$ as defined above are nonnegative and sum to 1. In other words, they induce a probability measure on $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. It is straightforward to verify that

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \beta(p_i(\mathbf{x}) - p_i(\mathbf{x})^2) & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\beta p_i(\mathbf{x}) p_j(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})(\sigma_{ij}^Y - \sigma_{ij}^X) \\ &= \beta \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(\mathbf{x})(\sigma_{ii}^Y - \sigma_{ii}^X) - \beta \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} p_i(\mathbf{x}) p_j(\mathbf{x})(\sigma_{ij}^Y - \sigma_{ij}^X). \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that since $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(\mathbf{x}) = 1$, therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(\mathbf{x})(\sigma_{ii}^Y - \sigma_{ii}^X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} p_i(\mathbf{x}) p_j(\mathbf{x})(\sigma_{ii}^Y - \sigma_{ii}^X + \sigma_{jj}^Y - \sigma_{jj}^X).$$

Combining, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})(\sigma_{ij}^Y - \sigma_{ij}^X) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} p_i(\mathbf{x}) p_j(\mathbf{x}) \big[(\sigma_{ii}^Y + \sigma_{jj}^Y - 2\sigma_{ij}^Y) - (\sigma_{ii}^X + \sigma_{jj}^X - 2\sigma_{ij}^X) \big]. \end{aligned}$$

Now note that

$$\sigma_{ii}^X + \sigma_{jj}^X - 2\sigma_{ij}^X = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_i - \tilde{X}_j)^2 = \mathbb{E}(X_i - X_j)^2 - (\mu_i - \mu_j)^2$$

and similarly

$$\sigma_{ii}^Y + \sigma_{jj}^Y - 2\sigma_{ij}^Y = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i - \tilde{Y}_j)^2 = \mathbb{E}(Y_i - Y_j)^2 - (\mu_i - \mu_j)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})(\sigma_{ij}^Y - \sigma_{ij}^X) = \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} p_i(\mathbf{x}) p_j(\mathbf{x})(\gamma_{ij}^Y - \gamma_{ij}^X).$$

Thus, if $\gamma_{ij}^X \leq \gamma_{ij}^Y$ for all i, j, then $\varphi'(t) \geq 0$ for each t, which implies

$$\mathbb{E}(F_\beta(\mathbf{Y})) = \varphi(1) \geq \varphi(0) = \mathbb{E}(F_\beta(\mathbf{X})). \tag{1}$$

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\max_i x_i &= \beta^{-1}\log e^{\beta\max_i x_i}\\ &\le \beta^{-1}\log\Big(\sum_i e^{\beta x_i}\Big)\\ &\le \beta^{-1}\log\big(ne^{\beta\max_i x_i}\big)\\ &= \beta^{-1}\log n + \max_i x_i. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In other words, $\max x_i \le F_\beta(\mathbf{x}) \le \beta^{-1}\log n + \max x_i$.

Thus, taking $\beta\to\infty$ in (1), we get the second assertion of the theorem. For the first, note that with $\gamma = \max_{1\le i,j\le n}|\gamma_{ij}^Y - \gamma_{ij}^X|$, we have

$$\Big|\sum_{1\le i,j\le n}\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})(\sigma_{ij}^Y-\sigma_{ij}^X)\Big| \le \frac{\beta\gamma}{2}\sum_{1\le i,j\le n}p_i(\mathbf{x})p_j(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta\gamma}{2}.$$

This shows that

$$|\mathbb{E}(F_\beta(\mathbf{Y})) - \mathbb{E}(F_\beta(\mathbf{X}))| \le \frac{\beta\gamma}{4}.$$

Combined with (2), this gives

$$|\mathbb{E}(\max_i Y_i) - \mathbb{E}(\max_i X_i)| \le \frac{\beta\gamma}{4} + \frac{\log n}{\beta}.$$

Choosing $\beta = 2\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{\gamma}}$ gives the desired result.

References

[1] Adler, R.J. (1990). *An Introduction to Continuity, Extrema, and Related Topics for General Gaussian Processes.* Institute of Mathematical Statistics.

[2] Alexander, R. (1985). Lipschitzian mappings and total mean curvature of polyhedral surfaces I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **288**, 661–678.

[3] Fernique, X. (1975). *Regularité des trajectoires des fonctions aléatoires Gaussiens.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **480**, 1–96, Springer.

[4] Fernique, X. (1997). *Fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes vecteurs aléatoires gaussiens.* CRM, Montreal.

[5] Gordon, Y. (1985). Some inequalities for Gaussian processes and applications. *Israel J. Math.* **50**, 265–289.

[6] Gordon, Y. (1987). Elliptically contoured distributions. *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields* **76**, 429–438.

[7] Gordon, Y. (1992). Majorization of Gaussian processes and geometric applications. *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields* **91**, 251–267.

[8] Kahane, J.-P. (1986). Une inegalité du type de Slepian et Gordon sur les processus gaussiens. *Israel J. Math.* **55**, 109–110.

[9] Ledoux, M. Talagrand, M. (1985). *Probability in Banach Spaces.* Springer, New York.

[10] Lifshits, M.A. (1995). *Gaussian Random Functions.* Kluwer, Boston.

[11] Sudakov, V.N. (1971). Gaussian random processes and measures of solid angles in Hilbert space. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR* **197**, 4345.; English translation in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* (1971) **12**, 412–415.

[12] Sudakov, V.N. (1976). Geometric Problems in the Theory of Infinite-Dimensional Probability Distributions. *Trud. Mat. Inst. Steklov* **141**. English translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math* **2**, Amer. Math. Soc.

[13] Talagrand, Michel (2003). *Spin glasses: a challenge for mathematicians. Cavity and mean field models.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[14] Vitale, R.A. (2000). Some comparisons for gaussian processes. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**, 3043–3046.